1 The Honorable Sean P. O'Donnell Hearing Date: December 5, 2025 2 Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m. With Oral Argument 3 4 5 6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 7 IN AND FOR KING COUNTY 8 LAKISHA LEWIS and CZARINA SLAPE, NO. 24-2-16171-6 SEA individually and on behalf of all others similarly 10 situated. **PROPOSED** FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT 11 Plaintiffs, GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' 12 MOTIONS FOR FINAL APPROVAL AND ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS 13 AND SERVICE AWARD SEATTLE HOUSING AUTHORITY, 14 Defendant. 15 WHEREAS, the above-captioned class action is pending in this Court (the "Action"); 16 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Lakisha Lewis and Czarina Slape ("Plaintiffs"), individually 17 and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and Seattle Housing Authority ("SHA" or 18 "Defendant") have entered into a Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") that 19 settles the above-captioned litigation and provides for a complete dismissal with prejudice of 20 21 the claims asserted against Defendant in the above-captioned action (the "Action") on the terms 22 and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement, that was approved by this Court; 23 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have made an application, pursuant to Rule 23 of the 24 Washington Rules of Civil Procedure, for an order preliminarily approving the Settlement in 25 accordance with the Settlement Agreement, certifying the Settlement Class for purposes of the 26

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT- 1

TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, Washington 98101 TEL 206.682.5600 • FAX 206.682.2992

Settlement only, appointing Plaintiffs as Class Representatives, appointing Class Counsel as counsel for the Settlement Class, appointing EAG Gulf Coast LLC ("EAG") as Settlement Administrator, and allowing notice to Settlement Class Members as more fully described herein;

WHEREAS, the Court granted Plaintiffs' application for an order preliminarily approving the Settlement on July 22, 2025.

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have made an application, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Washington Rules of Civil Procedure, for a Final Order approving the Settlement in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, certifying the Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement only, appointing Plaintiffs as Class Representatives, appointing Class Counsel as counsel for the Settlement Class, appointing EAG, and allowing notice to Settlement Class Members as more fully described herein;

WHEREAS, the Court has read and considered: (a) Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the papers filed and arguments made in connection therewith; and (b) the Settlement Agreement and exhibits attached thereto; and

WHEREAS, on December 5, 2025, the Court held a Final Fairness Hearing to determine whether the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and whether judgment should be entered dismissing this Action with prejudice. The Court has reviewed Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Service Award (together, the "Motions") and all supporting materials, including but not limited to the Settlement Agreement and the exhibits thereto. The Court also considered the oral argument of counsel. Based on this review and the findings below, the Court finds good cause to grant the Motions.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Litigation, all claims raised therein, and all Parties thereto, including the Settlement Class.
- 2. The Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of Settlement Class Members. The Settlement Agreement was negotiated at arm's-length, in good faith and without collusion, by capable and experienced counsel, with full knowledge of the facts, the law, and the risks inherent in litigating the Action, and with the active involvement of the Parties. Moreover, the Settlement Agreement confers substantial benefits on the Settlement Class Members, is not contrary to the public interest, and will provide the Parties with repose from litigation. The Parties faced significant risks, expense, and/or uncertainty from continued litigation of this matter, which further supports the Court's conclusion that the settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members.
- 3. The Court grants final approval of the Settlement Agreement in full, including but not limited to the releases therein and the procedures for effecting the Settlement. All Settlement Class Members who have not excluded themselves from the Settlement Class are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 4. The Parties shall carry out their respective obligations under the Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms. The relief provided for in the Settlement Agreement shall be made available to the various Settlement Class Members submitting valid Claim Forms, pursuant to the terms and conditions in the Settlement Agreement.

OBJECTIONS AND REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION

- 5. No objections to the settlement were submitted. All persons who did not object to the settlement in the manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement are deemed to have waived any objections, including but not limited to by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.
 - 6. No class members have submitted valid opt-out requests.

CERTIFICATION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

- 7. Solely for purposes of the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval and Order and Judgment, the Court hereby certifies the following Settlement Class:
 - All U.S. residents whose Personal Information was accessed and/or acquired in the Data Breach, as identified in the Settlement Class List to be provided by Defendant, which Defendant estimates to be approximately 72,000 individuals.
- 8. Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (1) the judges presiding over this Action, and members of their direct families; (2) Defendant, its subsidiaries, parent companies, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interests and its current or former officers and directors; and (3) Settlement Class Members who submit a valid Request for Exclusion prior to the Opt-Out Deadline.
- 9. The Court incorporates its preliminary conclusions in the Preliminary Approval Order regarding the satisfaction of Rule 23 of the Washington Rules of Civil Procedure. Because the Settlement Class is certified solely for purposes of settlement, the Court need not address any issues of manageability for litigation purposes.
- 10. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of Representative Plaintiffs Lakisha Lewis and Czarina Slape as Class Representatives of the Settlement Class and concludes that they have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class and shall continue to do so.

11. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of Kaleigh N. Boyd of Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC as Class Counsel. Class Counsel has fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Classes and shall continue to do so.

NOTICE TO THE CLASS

Agreement and effectuated pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order: (i) was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (ii) was reasonably calculated to provide, and did provide due and sufficient notice to the Settlement Class regarding the existence and nature of the Action, certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only, the existence and terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the rights of Settlement Class Members to exclude themselves from the settlement, to object and appear at the Final Fairness Hearing, and to receive benefits under the Settlement Agreement; and (iii) satisfied the requirements of the Washington Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, and all other applicable law.

ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, SERVICE AWARD

- 13. The Court awards Class Counsel \$ 143,209.09 for attorneys' fees and \$ 2,590.91 for reimbursement of costs and expenses, for a total award of \$ 145,800.00. The Court finds this amount to be fair and reasonable. Payment shall be made pursuant to the procedures in ¶¶ 98-100 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. The Court awards a Service Award of \$4,000 to Plaintiff Lakisha Lewis and \$4,000 to Plaintiff Czarina Slape. The Court finds that this amount is justified by their service to the Settlement Class. Payment shall be made from the Settlement Fund pursuant to ¶¶ 96, 97 of the Settlement Agreement.

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT- 5

RELEASE

Each Settlement Class Member, including the Class Representative, are deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have released, acquitted, relinquished, and forever discharged any and all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement and including Unknown Claims. The full terms of the release described in this paragraph are set forth in ¶¶ 93-95 of the Settlement Agreement and are specifically approved and incorporated herein by this reference (the "Release"). Upon entry of this Order and Judgment, the Settlement Class Representatives and other Participating Settlement Class Members shall be enjoined from prosecuting any claim they have released in the preceding paragraphs in any proceeding against any of the Released Parties or based on any actions taken by any of the Released Parties that are authorized or required by the Settlement Agreement or by this Order and Judgment.

OTHER PROVISIONS

- 16. The Court directs the Parties and their counsel to implement and consummate the Settlement Agreement, and make available to Settlement Class Members the relief provided for therein, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement's terms and provisions.
- 17. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all documents, supporting materials, representations, statements and proceedings relating to the settlement, are not, and shall not be construed as, used as, or deemed evidence of, any admission by or against Defendant of liability, fault, wrongdoing, or violation of any law, or of the validity or certifiability for litigation purposes of the Settlement Class or any claims that were or could have been asserted in the Action.
- 18. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all documents, supporting materials, representations, statements and proceedings relating to the

settlement shall not be offered or received into evidence, and are not admissible into evidence, in any action or proceeding, except that any Released Person may file the Settlement Agreement and/or Judgment in any action that may be brought against them or any of them to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar, or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim pursuant to ¶ 102 of the Settlement Agreement.

- 20. If the Effective Date does not occur, the certification of the Settlement Class shall be void. The full terms of decertification in the event of the incurrence of the effective date are set forth in ¶¶ 90 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 21. Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction over this Action and the Parties with respect to interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement for all purposes.
- 22. The Court hereby dismisses the Action in its entirety with prejudice, and without fees or costs except as otherwise provided for herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Court hereby enters judgment in this matter pursuant to the Washington Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED this \(\square\) day of, \(\square\)

The Honorable Sean P. O'Donnell Superior County for State of Washington In and For King County

1	
2	Presented By:
3	By: s/Joan M. Pradhan
4	Kaleigh N. Boyd, WSBA #52684 Joan M. Pradhan, WSBA #58134
5	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700
6	Seattle, WA 98101
7	Telephone: 206-682-5600 kboyd@tousley.com
8	jpradhan@tousley.com
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
- 1	l

23

24

25

26

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT- 8

TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, Washington 98101 TEL 206.682_5600 • FAX 206.682_2992

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Linsey M. Teppner, declare and state that I am a citizen of the United States and
resident of the state of Washington, over the age of 18 years, not a party to the above-entitled
action, and am competent to be a witness herein. My business address and telephone number
are 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200, Seattle, Washington 98101, telephone 206.682.5600.

On October 6, 2025, I caused to be served the foregoing document on the individual named below via the Court's e-filing system:

Shannon Wodnik Gordon Rees 701 Fifth Avenue Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98104 swodnik@grsm.com

John T. Mills
Brian Middlebrook
Gordon Rees
1 Battery Park Plaza, 28th Floor
New York, NY 10004
jtmills@grsm.com
bmiddlebrook@grsm.com

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 6th day of October, 2025, at Seattle, Washington.

Linsey M. Teponer, Aegal Assistant

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT- 9

TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, Washington 98101 TEL 206.682.5600 • FAX 206.682.2992